# Resolution of a MPGD readout TPC using the charge dispersion signal

### Madhu Dixit Carleton University & TRIUMF





**Physics Group** July 28-31, 2004

R.K.Carnegie, J.-P.Martin, H.Mes, E.Neuheimer, A.Rankin, K.Sachs & A.Tomkins Carleton University & University of Montreal

### Introduction

- Transverse diffusion sets the ultimate limit on the TPC resolution.
- Wire/pad TPCs do not reach this limit due to ExB & track angle effects.
- MPGD TPC has the potential to reach the diffusion limit but is limited by imprecise pad centroid determination due to insufficient charge sharing.
- Options:
	- Narrower pads, increased complexity  $\&$  a much larger channel count. Disperse avalanche charge for improved centroid determination.
- GEM operated with large diffusion in transfer and induction gaps. R.K.Carnegie et.al., LCWS'02, physics/0402054 (to be published in NIM).
- $\triangleright$  Charge dispersion on a resistive anode: concept and 1st tests published: *M.S.Dixit et.al., NIM A518 (2004) 721.*
- $\triangleright$  First results on TPC resolution with charge dispersion in a low diffusion gas (Ar/CO<sub>2</sub>:90/10,  $\sigma_{\text{Trans}}$ = 230 µm/ $\sqrt{cm}$ ) presented at *LCWS 2004, Paris.*
- **1** *New results on resolution from charge dispersion in Ar/CO<sub>2</sub>:90/10 & in a high diffusion gas (P10,*  $\sigma_{Trans} = 560 \mu m/\sqrt{cm}$ ).
- *Simulation progress in understanding the charge dispersion phenomenon.*

#### Charge dispersion in a MPGD with a resistive anode

•Modified GEM anode structure with a high resistivity film bonded to the readout plane with an insulating layer of glue.

•2-dim RC network defined by material properties & geometry.

•Point charge at  $r = 0$  &  $t = 0$ disperses with time.

•Measure capacitively coupled charge signals on pads below.

Telegraph equation for the charge density function:





Cosmic ray track resolution of a GEM TPC

using the charge dispersion signal

mplitude

 $-20$ 

- •15 cm drift double GEM-TPC. •Ar/CO<sub>2</sub> (90/10) & P10 gas mixtures. •60 readout pads (2 x 6 mm<sup>2</sup>). •Anode resistivity  $\sim$  530 kΩ/.
- • $C \sim 0.22$  pF/mm<sup>2</sup>.
- •TPC charge preamps from Aleph.  $\tau_{\text{Rise}}$ = 40 ns,  $\tau_{\text{Decav}}$ = 2 μs.

•200 MHz custom 8 bit FADC readout.



secondary signa primary signal  $-40$  $-60$  $-80$  $-100$  $-120$ 1600 1800 2000 **Run 92, Event 44** 



#### A TPC track signal with charge dispersion



## Tracking with the charge dispersion signal

•Unusual highly variable charge pulse shape.

•*Pulses on charge collecting pads*: Large pulses with fast fixed rise-time. The decay time depends on the system RC, the pad size & the initial charge cluster location.

•*Pulses on other pads:* Smaller pulse heights & slow rise & decay times determined by the system RC & the pad location.

•Many possible ways to define & use the pad response function (PRF) since both the peak pulse amplitude & the pulse rise time depend on the initial charge cluster position.

•Present recipe for the PRF uses only the peak pulse height independent of the pulse rise time.

•Part of the cosmic ray data used for calibration to determine the PRF & the bias correction. The remaining cosmic ray data used for resolution studies.

29 July 2004 M.Dixit 6

The pad response function for TPC tracks determined from the calibration data set

The PRF shape well described by a generalized Lorentzian.

 $\Gamma_{\text{PRF}}(\text{Ar/CO}_2\text{ }90/10) \sim 3 - 3.7 \text{ mm}$ depends on the drift distance. Up to 3 pads in a row contribute.

P10 has large diffusion.  $\Gamma_{\text{PRF}}(P10)$  for long drift ~ 6 mm. Up to 6 pads contribute.

2  $v_1$   $\sim$   $v_2$ 2  $1^{\prime}$  +  $u_2$  $PRF(X, FWHM) = \frac{1 + a_1X + a_2X}{1 + b_1X + b_2X}$ +  $b_1X$  +  $=\frac{1 + a_1 X +}{}$ Parameters: *x,* Γ*(z)*

 $ArCO<sub>2</sub>, Z=1 cm$ Rel.  $ArCO<sub>2</sub>$ , Z=14 cn  $P10, Z=14$  cn  $-2$  $x / mm$ 

#### Bias in reconstructed positions from the PRF

•Local variations in the system RC time constant lead to biases of  $\sim$ 100 µm which can be corrected.

•Bias correction determined from the calibration data set.

•P10 has very wide PRF & many effects accumulate which become difficult to correct.

•Need a more uniform detector to keep systematic effects small.



#### $Ar/CO<sub>2</sub>$  (90/10) resolution



29 July 2004 M.Dixit 9

### Resolution for P10

•The P10 PRF is too wide & local *RC* nonuniformities lead to biases which can not be completely removed.

•Larger systematic errors compared to direct charge; visible at short drift dist.

•At large drift distance better resolution closer to the diffusion limit.



*Confirmation of improved resolution with charge dispersion seen for ArCO<sub>2</sub> (90/10.)* 

#### The GEM charge dispersion signal Simulation versus measurement  $(2 \text{ mm } x \text{ 6 mm } pads) \sim 4.5 \text{ keV collimated } x \text{ ray spot at the pad centre}$

Detailed simulation, includes effects of intrinsic detector charge pulse shape,

diffusion in the gas, and the preamp (Aleph) rise and decay time effects.



Fast rising direct signal on charge collecting pad.

 simulation) studied previously MPGD '99 (Orsay), LCWS '00  $\begin{array}{c} 0.05 \\[-4pt] \phantom{0}0.05 \\[-4pt] \phantom{0}0.05 \end{array}$  $-0.1$  $-0.15$ simulated signal  $-0.2$ observed signal difference observed - simulated  $-0.25$  $=$  induced signal  $-0.3$ 500 1000 1500 2000  $time / ns$ 

Difference (induced signal not included in

 $29$  July 2004  $\blacksquare$  11 Neighboring pad dispersion signal peaks later  $(\sim 150 \text{ ns})$ has slower decay time.

# GEM pad response function for a charge cluster

Simulation versus measurement

*(2 mm x 6 mm pads)*



Ionization from 50  $\mu$ m collimated x-rays.



Simulated PRF deviates from the data due to RC nonuniformities. The deviations are consistent

# Cosmic ray track simulation - compared to the data

#### *(67 mm drift, Ar/CO<sub>2</sub> 90/10 gas)*

•An exact simulation requires specifying the position & the size of all primary ionization clusters for the track. *Uniformly spaced equal size charge*



*Single free parameter - normalization from the centre pad amplitude.*

29 July 2004 **M.Dixit** 13

# Optimizing charge dispersion for the best resolution

•High anode surface resistivity to minimize the resistor noise contribution to the signal  $($   $\sim$  200 e<sup>-</sup>, 200 *ns* integration, 1000 KΩ/ $\Box$ ).

•Large capacitance per unit area for maximal signal coupling (important for Micromegas).

•Narrow intrinsic charge dispersion PRF width consistent with accurate centroid determination.

Diffusion effects & electronics shaping modify the charge dispersion measurement significantly



Intrinsic pulse shapes and PRF (no diffusion and electronics effects).

Simulated pulses & PRF with electronics & diffusion in Tesla TPC TDR gas  $(Ar/CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>)$ 93/5/2) @ 4 T for 1 m drift with Aleph TPC preamp shaping.

#### Pad width and RC effects on charge dispersion



29 July 2004 M.Dixit 16

# Conclusion & future plans

- Better resolution with charge dispersion than with direct charge for large & small transverse diffusion gases - P10 & Ar/ $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .
- The variation with drift distance of the TPC charge dispersion resolution is near the limit from the transverse diffusion in the gas.
- Nonuniform anode RC leads to  $\sim 100$  µm bias corrections.
- We understand the complexities of charge dispersion. The simulation agrees well with the data.
- A spatial resolution of less than 100  $\mu$ m may be feasible for all tracks independent of angle for a TPC in a magnetic field.
- Future plans:
	- Improved anode structure with more uniform RC properties.
	- Charge dispersion studies with the Micromegas.
	- TPC magnetic field & beam tests with charge dispersion.
	- Preamps better matched to the charge dispersion signal.
	- 25 MHz digitizers to replace 200 MHz FADCs.